



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,495	05/10/2005	Andrew C. Lewin	124-1118	4506
23117 7590 06/30/2010 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203				
EXAMINER				
PUNNOOSE, ROY M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2886				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/30/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/534,495 Applicant(s) LEWIN ET AL. Examiner ROY PUNNOOSE	Art Unit 2886
--	--	--	-------------------------

All Participants:

(1) Examiner Roy Punnoose.

(2) Atty. Stanley Spooner.

Date of Interview: 4 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: Rejected

(3) _____.
 (4) _____

Time: 8:21 AM

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1-37

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/ROY M. PUNNOOSE/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2886

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the interest of compact prosecution, the Examiner contacted and had an interview with Atty. Stanley Spooner on 04 June 2010. The Examiner proposed an in-person interview at the Office in the presence of the Examiner's supervisor, SPE Tarifur Chowdhury, to discuss the claims, possible allowable subject matter and/or any other pending issues. However, Atty. Spooner declined the offer and stated that "an in-person interview at the Office would not be feasible because his clients are in the UK." Atty. Spooner stated that he's willing to correct any errors via a telephone interview..